London Borough of Havering Record of Decisions made by CABINET on 13th June, 2018

- 1. TITLE: Publication and Adoption of the London Borough of Havering's Air Quality Action Plan
- 2. DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet

3. **DECISION:**

Cabinet:

• Cabinet **APPROVED** and adopted the final Air Quality Action Plan as detailed in Appendix 1 of the report.

4. REASON FOR DECISION

The draft AQAP has been produced to comply with statutory duty under Part IV of the Environment Act 1995. As described in Section 1 of the Report Detail, once an AQMA has been declared, there is a legal requirement for the local authority to produce an AQAP detailing remedial measures to tackle the problem within the AQMA. The AQAP has had regard to guidance on air quality issued by the Greater London Authority (GLA).

Poor air quality has a direct impact on the health and wellbeing of our residents, workers, commuters and visitors but it particularly affects the most vulnerable of our society; children, the elderly and those with pre-existing medical conditions. Therefore, taking actions to reduce the concentrations of key pollutants and improve Havering's air quality is high priority.

5. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Havering Council could have chosen not to adopt an Air Quality Action Plan. However, that option was rejected because the Council would not comply with its statutory duties under Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 and the Local Air Quality Management system for London. Furthermore, increasing the level of knowledge and initiatives to tackle areas of poor air quality in Havering will help the Council to improve the public health of Havering's community.

6. **DOCUMENT CONSIDERED:** AQAP_CABINET_June2018_FINAL_Cleared_by_Bu siness_Partners - LB Update Vdp1 Appendix_1_Havering Air Quality Action Plan 2017_V7_Post_Consultation_April2018 FINAL Appendix_2_Summary_of_consultation_responses_ April2018 FINAL Appendix_3_AQAP_Equality_Impact_Assessment

1. TITLE: Annual Corporate Performance Report (2017/18) - Quarter 4

2. DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet

3. **DECISION:**

Cabinet:

- 1. **REVIEWED** the performance set out in **Appendix 1** of the report and the corrective action that is being taken.
- 2. **NOTED** the content of the Demand Pressures Dashboard attached as **Appendix 2** of the report.

4. REASON FOR DECISION

All service directorates are required to achieve their performance targets within approved budgets. The Senior Leadership Team (SLT) is actively monitoring and managing resources to remain within budgets, although several service areas continue to experience financial pressure from demand led services.

The data allows outcomes to be monitored and assessed.

5. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

There are no alternative options. The report is for noting.

6. DOCUMENT CONSIDERED: Cabinet Report - CPR (Annual) Appendix 1 FINAL Quarter 4 Corporate Performance Report Appendix 2 FINAL Demand Pressures Dashboard

1. TITLE: Main Insurance Contract Award

- 2. DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet
- 3. DECISION:

Cabinet:

- 1. AGREED the award of Lot 1) Property (excluding terrorism), Lot 2) Casualty & FG and Lot 3) Motor, to Bidder B on the basis set out in the report detail.
- 2. AGREED the award of Lot 4) PA Group Travel to Bidder A on the basis set out in the report detail
- **3. AGREED** the award of the terrorism element of Lot 1 Property to the supplier providing the alternative Quote 1, as set out in the detail of the report. This exercises the right reserved in the Invitation to Tender not to award to the successful bidder under Lot 1.
- 4. NOTED the contract award Notices will need to be issued and the Insurance Team

will need to mobilise to ensure a smooth transition where the award of a Lot is to a new insurer. New insurance schedules and certificates will need to be received and notification sent to relevant parties, including schools.

4. REASON FOR DECISION

- 1. The council needs to have insurances in place to meet legal requirements or provide compulsory insurances and to adequately protect the council's assets and liabilities.
- 2. The most cost effective way to achieve this is to procure insurance cover and combine this with appropriate self-insured retention levels under a risk and financial management insurance programme.
- 3. Based on the level of risk and claims experience, options for alternative quotes on reduced deductible levels were sought. The rationale was supported by the findings of an actuarial fund review conducted prior to tendering.
- 4. Other options were factored in to seek quotes to enhance cover or provide alternative options

5. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Other options were considered, these were:

- 1. **Havering to tender alone** This was rejected as collaboration with a oneSource partner borough enabled the sharing of best practice and efficiency savings in aligning programmes.
- To further collaborate in a shared tender with Newham this was rejected as Newham's programme does not lend itself to alignment at the current time with incompatible contract periods. The contract period has been set however to allow flexibility to facilitate further collaboration in the future if this is to the advantage of the oneSource partner boroughs.
- 3. Utilise an existing framework this was rejected as this would have limited the contract period available to us, would have required the appointment of a broker via the framework initially and would incur the additional fee of 0.75% on the value of the contract.

6. **DOCUMENT CONSIDERED**:

CABINET130618 - Main Insurance Contract Award Cabinet Decision Appendix A